arXiv:1605.01688v3 [math.CO] 20 Oct 2016

Colourability and word-representability of near-triangulations

Marc Glen

21st October 2016

Abstract

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is word-representable if there is a word w over the alphabet V such that x and y alternate in w if and only if the edge (x, y) is in G. It is known [6] that all 3-colourable graphs are word-representable, while among those with a higher chromatic number some are word-representable while others are not.

There has been some recent research on the word-representability of polyomino triangulations. Akrobotu et al. [1] showed that a triangulation of a convex polyomino is word-representable if and only if it is 3-colourable; and Glen and Kitaev [5] extended this result to the case of a rectangular polyomino triangulation when a single domino tile is allowed.

In this paper we show that a known result on the 3-colourability of near-triangulations helps to generalize the results in [1] and [5], and solves all open problems stated in [5]. We also provide a new proof for 3-colourability of near-triangulations.

1 Introduction

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is called *word-representable* if there exists a word w over the alphabet V such that letters x and y alternate in w if and only if (x, y) is an edge in E. For example, the cycle graph C_4 on 4 vertices labelled by 1, 2, 3 and 4 consecutively can be represented by the word 14213243.

There is a long line of research on word-representable graphs, which is summarized in the recently published book [7]. The roots of the theory of word-representable graphs are in the study of the celebrated Perkins semigroup [9] which has played a central role in semigroup theory since 1960, particularly as a source of examples and counterexamples. Two more papers [2, 3], closely related to this paper's study of word-representability of graphs with triangulated faces, have appeared recently.

A graph is k-colourable if its vertices can be coloured in at most k colours so that no pair of vertices with the same colour is connected by an edge.

Theorem 1 ([6])**.** *All* 3*-colourable graphs are word-representable.*

A *wheel* W_n is obtained from the cycle C_n by adding one universal vertex. See Figure 1 for W_5.

Note that, for $k \geq 4$, there are examples of non-word-representable graphs that are k-colourable, but not 3-colourable. For example, following from [8] all

wheels W_n for odd $n > 3$ are such graphs; in contrast, all even wheels are 3-colourable (and so word-representable). Note that $W_3 = K_4$ is the complete graph on four vertices, and the only word-representable odd wheel. W_5 is known to be the smallest non-word-representable graph.

A *near-triangulation* is a planar graph in which each inner bounded face is a triangle (where the outer face may possibly not be a triangle). In other words, a near-triangulation is a generalization of a triangulation that may have one untriangulated face. Let $\mathcal{NT}_n$ denote the class of near-triangulations on n vertices, and let $\mathcal{NT}_n^{even}$ denote members of $\mathcal{NT}_n$ which are *internally even*, that is, each inner vertex (that is, vertex not incident to the outer face) has even degree. See Figure 1 for examples of graphs in $\mathcal{NT}_7$ and $\mathcal{NT}_7^{even}$. We let $\mathcal{NT} = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{NT}_n$ and $\mathcal{NT}^{even} = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{NT}_n^{even}$. It is known [4] that a near-triangulation is 3-colourable if and only if it belongs to $\mathcal{NT}^{even}$. This result is of special importance in our paper, and we decided to provide a (new) proof of it (see Theorem 3).



Figure 1: From left to right: the wheel graph W_5, a simple near-triangulation in $\mathcal{NT}_7$ and one in $\mathcal{NT}_7^{even}$. The vertex labelled v is an example of an inner vertex, and is the centre of a wheel W_4, and the vertex labelled w is an example of a boundary vertex.

The motivation for considering near-triangulations comes from a subclass of these graphs, called polyomino triangulations, which have been studied recently by Akrobotu et al. [1] and Glen and Kitaev [5], and which have had related work dealing with triangulations and face subdivisions in the recent papers [2, 3]. Polyomino triangulations will be defined in Section 3. Akrobotu et al. showed that a triangulation of a convex polyomino is word-representable if and only if it is 3-colourable, and Glen and Kitaev extended this result to the case of a rectangular polyomino triangulation with a single domino. This paper shows in Theorem 2 that a near-triangulation, that avoids the complete graph K_4 as an induced subgraph, is word-representable if and only if it is 3-colourable, and so we obtain an elegant generalization of the previous results.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we look at near-triangulations, in particular proving Theorem 2 showing that a near-triangulation that avoids K_4 is 3-colourable if and only if it is word-representable. Section 3 discusses polyomino triangulations as a specialization of near-triangulations, proving Theorem 7 as a corollary to Theorem 2. Finally, Section 4 concludes by stating a problem that is left open, namely that of the word-representability classification of our graphs that contain K_4 as an induced subgraph.

2 Near-triangulations

The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 2. *A K_4-free near-triangulation is 3-colourable if and only if it is word-representable.*

Lemma 1. *Let x be a vertex of odd degree in a graph G, and suppose the neighbours of x induce a path P. In any 3-colouring of G, the end-points of P must have the same colour.*

Proof. Let a and b denote the end-points of P. Without loss of generality and using colours from $\{1, 2, 3\}$, assume that x and a have colours 1 and 2, respectively. Starting from a and going along the path, the vertices must be coloured $2, 3, 2, 3 \ldots$. Since the path is of even length, b must be coloured 2. □

The following theorem is proved in [4], but we provide an alternative (shorter) proof of it here.

Theorem 3 ([4])**.** *A graph $G \in \mathcal{NT}$ is 3-colourable if and only if $G \in \mathcal{NT}^{even}$.*

Proof. First, if $G \notin \mathcal{NT}^{even}$, then it contains an odd wheel, so obviously it is not 3-colourable as odd wheels are not 3-colourable.

For the opposite direction, we proceed by induction on the number of vertices, with the trivial base case of the single vertex graph. We take a graph $G \in \mathcal{NT}_n^{even}$, remove a boundary vertex v from it, and colour the new graph, called G', with three colours. We will then show that re-adding v preserves 3-colourability. Note that $G' \in \mathcal{NT}_{n-1}^{even}$. Using colours from $\{1, 2, 3\}$, it will be shown that in situations in which v has neighbours with all three colours, the graph can be recoloured in some way so that v does not require colour 4. If the neighbours of v are coloured with two colours then v can be coloured with the third colour and 3-colourability is preserved.

If the neighbours of v are coloured with three colours, then they can be recoloured with two colours as follows. There are two possible situations involving v and its neighbours: (i) there is a path P connecting all of the neighbors of v; (ii) v is a cut-vertex, meaning that the neighbours of v in G' are in at least two different connected components.

For situation (i), going along P starting from one of its end-points, take the first instance in which there are 3 consecutive vertices with different colours. Assume without loss of generality that they are coloured $1, 2, 3$, and call the vertices v_1, v_2 and v_3, respectively. Vertex v_2 must be at the boundary, otherwise Lemma 1 can be used to show that v_1 and v_3 must have the same colour (in G, v_2 must be the centre of an even wheel, so in G' it must have odd degree with a path connecting all its neighbours, with v_1 and v_3 being its end-points). Because v_2 is at the boundary, it is a cut-vertex in G' meaning that removing it increases the number of connected components, each of which is a graph in $\mathcal{NT}^{even}$. Take the component containing v_3 and recolour its vertices, swapping 1 and 3, keeping 2 the same. Thus the vertices v_1, v_2 and v_3 are now coloured $1, 2, 1$ respectively. One can continue going through P's as yet unvisited vertices, looking for more examples of three consecutive vertices with three different colours; when detecting such vertices, apply the re-colouring argument again. Once all of P's vertices are visited, they will be coloured in two colours, so v can be coloured with the third colour.

For situation (ii), the recolouring argument from (i) can be applied to each connected component of G' to guarantee that the neighbours of v have at most two colours and v can be coloured with the third. □

For the following theorem, recall that it follows from [8] that all odd wheels W_{2n+1} for $n \geq 2$ are non-word-representable, while $W_3 = K_4$ is word-representable.

Theorem 4. *A K_4-free graph $G \in \mathcal{NT}$ is word-representable if and only if $G \in \mathcal{NT}^{even}$.*

Proof. If $G \in \mathcal{NT}^{even}$, then it follows from Theorems 1 and 3 that it is word-representable.

For the other direction, if G is word-representable then it cannot contain any odd wheel as an induced subgraph as such graphs are non-word-representable, so $G \in \mathcal{NT}^{even}$. □

Theorem 2 now follows from Theorems 3 and 4.

Another observation to make as a corollary to Theorems 2 and 3 is the following:

Corollary 1. *A K_4-free near-triangulation is word-representable if and only if it is perfect.*

A graph is *perfect* if the chromatic number of each of its induced subgraphs is equal to the size of the largest clique in that subgraph.

Proof. Any graph $\in \mathcal{NT}^{even}$ has a maximum clique size of 3, as it avoids K_4 as an induced subgraph, and so since Theorem 3 states that they are 3-colourable, these graphs are perfect. Conversely, a near-triangulation $\notin \mathcal{NT}^{even}$ has chromatic number 4, and if it avoids K_4 then it has no clique of size 4, so it is not perfect. So a K_4-free near-triangulation is 3-colourable if and only if it is perfect.

From this and Theorem 2 we have the fact that a K_4-free near-triangulation is word-representable if and only if it is perfect. □

3 Triangulations of polyominoes

A *polyomino* is a plane geometric figure formed by joining one or more equal squares edge to edge. Letting corners of squares in a polyomino be vertices, we can treat polyominoes as graphs. In particular, well-known *grid graphs* are obtained from polyominoes in this way. We are interested in *triangulations* of a polyomino, that is, subdividing each square into two triangles; Figure 2 shows an example of a polyomino triangulation. Note that no triangulation is 2-colourable—at least three colours are needed to properly colour a triangulation, while four colours are always enough to colour any triangulation, as it is a planar graph well-known to be 4-colourable by the 4 Colour Theorem.

The main result of Akrobotu et al. [1], Theorem 5, is related to *convex polyominoes*. A polyomino is said to be *column convex* if its intersection with any vertical line is convex (in other words, each column has no holes); and similarly, a polyomino is said to be *row convex* if its intersection with any horizontal line is convex. Finally, a polyomino is said to be convex if it is row and column convex.

Theorem 5 ([1])**.** *A triangulation of a convex polyomino is word-representable if and only if it is 3-colourable.*

The main result of Glen and Kitaev [5], Theorem 6, is related to a variation of the problem involving *polyominoes with domino tiles*. Polyominoes are objects formed by 1×1 tiles, so that the induced graphs in question have only (chordless) cycles of length 4. A generalization of such graphs is allowing domino (1×2 or 2×1) tiles to be present in polyominoes, so that in the respective induced graphs (chordless) cycles of length 6 would be allowed (in a triangulation of a domino tile, it would be subdivided into four triangles).

Theorem 6 ([5])**.** *A triangulation of a rectangular polyomino with a single domino tile is word-representable if and only if it is* 3*-colourable.*

We consider a generalization of both results. As a generalization of the results of Akrobotu et al. we consider polyominoes without *internal holes*. An internal hole is defined as a gap in a polyomino which is bounded on all sides. Notice that this restriction is much more general than the restriction in Theorem 5 to convex polyominoes, but it still prohibits any chordless cycles of length greater than 3. However, the counter-examples by Akrobotu et al. are unavoidable: that is, a graph G containing internal holes can result in word-representable graphs even when G is non-3-colourable. It turns out that the exact same arguments can be used as in [1] while replacing the convex restriction with the internal holes restriction to instantly obtain a more general result. Note in particular that the boundary shape of the polyomino is not important. See Figure 2 for an example of a non-convex polyomino triangulation not covered by [1], but that our general result does cover.



Figure 2: A non-convex polyomino triangulation.

As a generalization of Glen and Kitaev's result, we consider polyominoes in which any number of n-omino tiles are allowed. We call these shapes *polyominoes with n-omino tiles*. Additionally, we consider polyominoes that are not necessarily rectangular; as stated above, the boundary shape of the polyomino is unimportant. See Figure 3 for an example of a polyomino with n-omino tiles, without internal holes, and one of its triangulations.

The problem then is in finding a nice characterization of triangulations of such graphs that are word-representable, similar to Theorems 5 and 6. However, allowing arbitrary n-ominoes opens up the possibility of having $W_3 = K_4$ as an induced subgraph, which as stated above is word-representable, but it is not 3-colourable; see Figure 4 for the smallest such graph, containing a tromino and a square tile.



Figure 3: A polyomino with n-omino tiles (to the left) and one of its triangulations (to the right); notice that it is non-word-representable as it contains W_7 as an induced subgraph.



Figure 4: The smallest polyomino triangulation with n-omino tiles containing K_4.

Notice that the appearance of K_4 here is because of the inner "bend" in the tromino, as such a bend is the only situation in a polyomino triangulation in which an inner vertex may have degree 3. From this it is clear that the result for polyominoes with n-omino tiles cannot be as elegant as the other results, as there exist non-3-colourable graphs that may or may not be word-representable. Therefore the main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 7. *A K_4-free triangulation of a polyomino with n-omino tiles and without internal holes is word-representable if and only if it is 3-colourable.*

It is clear to see that these types of polyomino triangulations are near-triangulations, with the requirement of no internal holes being equivalent to the requirement that every bounded face is a triangle. Theorem 7 therefore follows from Theorem 2.

4 Concluding remarks

It is still left as an open problem the word-representability classification of near-triangulations, and graphs in general, that contain K_4 as an induced subgraph. As K_4 is non-3-colourable, a very different approach from the one above involving colours is required to find an elegant classification; since planar graphs are always 4-colourable, a classification based on colourability is not possible.

References

[1] P. Akrobotu, S. Kitaev and Z. Masárova. On word-representability of polyomino triangulations. *Siberian Advances in Mathematics*, **25**(1):1–10 (2015).

[2] T. Z. Q. Chen, S. Kitaev and B. Y. Sun. Word-representability of face subdivisions of triangular grid graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics, To appear, arXiv:1503.08002*.

[3] T. Z. Q. Chen, S. Kitaev and B. Y. Sun. Word-representability of triangulations of grid-covered cylinder graphs, preprint, arXiv:1507.06749.

[4] K. Diks, L. Kowalik and M. Kurowski. A new 3-color criterion for planar graphs. In *Graph-theoretic concepts in computer science*, volume 2573 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 138–149. Springer, Berlin (2002).

[5] M. Glen and S. Kitaev. Word-representability of triangulations of rectangular polyomino with a single domino tile. *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing, To appear, arXiv:1503.05076*.

[6] M. M. Halldórsson, S. Kitaev and A. Pyatkin. Semi-transitive orientations and word-representable graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **201**:164–171 (2016).

[7] S. Kitaev and V. Lozin. *Words and Graphs*. Springer (2015).

[8] S. Kitaev and A. Pyatkin. On representable graphs. *Journal of Automata, Languages and Combinatorics*, **13**(1):45–54 (2008).

[9] S. Kitaev and S. Seif. Word problem of the perkins semigroup via directed acyclic graphs. *Order*, **25**(3):177–194 (2008).